

Sir:

Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 6530.0020-02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) `
Brett HAARALA, et al.) Group Art Unit: 3763
Serial No.: 09/690,473) Examiner: M. Hayes
Filed: October 18, 2000))
For: GUIDEWIRE COMPATIBLE PORT AND METHOD FOR INSERTING SAME)))
Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231	

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

In a restriction requirement dated May 29, 2001, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between Group I: claims 10-12; Group II: claims 23-27, and 35-42; and Group III: claim 44.

In addition to this restriction requirement, the Examiner required an election between the following alleged species:

Figures 2-6; Species I: Species II: Figures 7-9; Species III: Figures 10 and 11; Species IV: Figures 12-14; Figures 15 and 16; Species V: Species VI: Figures 17-19; Species VII: Figures 20-22; Species IIX: Figures 23 and 24; Species IX: Figures 25-27; Species X:

Figures 28 and 29; and Species XI: Figures 30 and 31.

LAW OFFICES FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, Farabow, Garrett, 8 DUNNER, L. L.P. 1300 I STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 202-408-4000

JECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Although Applicants respectfully disagree with the restriction requirement, Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group I, claims 10-12.

In addition, Applicants provisionally elect Species II (Figs. 7-9). The following claims are readable on the elected species: 10-12.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 29, 2001

Anthony M. Gutowski

Reg. No. 38,742